

362 5638/06
Presented by Shri Arun Kumar
dated 10/10/06

1
SINGLE BENCH

(3)

CF 1002

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 5621 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PETITIONER

R/C
19/10/06
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
10/10/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

M/s SK. Sarawagi and
Company (Pvt) Limited,
Chirpurpalli, District
Vijaynagram Andhra Pradesh,
through K.V. Raghav Raju,
aged about 64 years, son of
Shri K.C.V. Raju, General
Manager and Power of Attorney
resident of SonN-Sea
Apartment, Flat No. B-304,
East Point Colony,
Visakhapatnam - 17 (A.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS



1. State of Chhattisgarh,
through - the Secretary,
Department of Mines and
Minerals, Dau Kalyan
Singh Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.)
2. Union of India, through -
Secretary, Ministry of
Mines, Government of

4

India, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi.

- 3 The Competent Authority
/ Revisional Authority,
Ministry of Mines,
Government of India,
Shastri Bhawan New
Dehli
- 4 M/s. Pushap Steel and
Mining Limited, 751,
Ground Floor, Kundewala
Street Ajmeri Get, New
Dehli.
- 5 M/s. Singhal Enterprises
c/o. Collector, District
Kanker (C.G.)'
- 6 The Collector, District
Kanker (C.G.)

The Petitioner, named above, respectfully submits as
under:-

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>6-11-2006</u> Shri Prashant Jaiswal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Ali Asgar, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri N. K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State/respondent No. 1. Shri V.S. Tiwari, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Bhisma Kingar, Standing Counsel for Union of India. Heard on admission. The petitioner has filed the instant petition against the order of Annexure P-4 passed by the respondent No. 1, whereby application of the petitioner for prospecting licence has been rejected. The petitioner has submitted in the petition that he has already impugned the order of Annexure P-4 by filing revision under Section 30 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulations) Act, 1957 before the competent authority-respondent No. 3 and he is ceased of the matter. The instant petition has been filed as the matter is pending before the revisional authority for almost 9 months and even then no progress is made and the petitioner apprehends irreparable loss as respondents No. 4 and 5 have been granted prospecting licence by the impugned order of Annexure P-4 and they are contemplating to start mining. Taking into consideration that the petitioner has already filed revision under Rule 54 of the Mines and Minerals (Concession) Rules, 1960 vide Annexure P-5 and has again submitted an application for revision before the competent authority under Section 30 of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulations) Act, 1957 vide Annexure P-9, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the revisional authority-respondent no. 3 to dispose of the revision expeditiously.	

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.

P1-
10-11-06
10-11-06
05/12/06